

**CLINICAL PRIORITIES ADVISORY GROUP**  
**04 06 19**

<b>Agenda Item No</b>	
<b>National Programme</b>	Trauma
<b>Clinical Reference Group</b>	Complex Disability and Rehabilitation
<b>URN</b>	1685

**Title (Insert Service Specification title in full)**

**Hand and Upper Limb Transplant Service**

**Actions Requested**

1. Agree the Service Specification Proposition

**Proposition**

**Hand and Upper Limb Transplant Service**

**Clinical Panel recommendation**

Not applicable

**The committee is asked to receive the following assurance:**

1.	The Head of Clinical Effectiveness confirms the proposal has completed the appropriate sequence of governance steps and includes where necessary an: Evidence Review; Clinical Panel Report
2.	The Head of Acute Programmes/Head of Mental Health Programme confirms the proposal is supported by an: Impact Assessment; Stakeholder Engagement Report; Consultation Report; Equality Impact and Assessment Report; Service Specification Proposition. The relevant National Programme of Care Board has approved these reports.
3.	The Director of Finance (Specialised Commissioning) confirms that the impact assessment has reasonably estimated a) the incremental cost and b) the budget impact of the proposal.
4.	The Operational Delivery Director (Specialised Commissioning) confirms that the service and operational impacts have been completed.

5.	The Director of Nursing (Specialised Commissioning) confirms that the proposed quality indicators have been adequately defined.
----	---

<b>The following documents are included (others available on request):</b>	
1.	Service Specification Proposition
2.	Consultation Report
3.	Evidence Summary (Not completed)
4.	Clinical Panel Report (Not completed)
5.	Equality Impact and Assessment Report

<b>Considerations from review by the Rare Disease Advisory Group</b>
<i>RDAG members discussed the service specification at its 30 04 19 meeting. Members approved the service specification noting the various comments from stakeholder testing and public consultation. They suggested that some of the longer-term follow-up of these patients could be done at local rehabilitation centres. As the specification does not include detailed information about, for example, patient eligibility, it was suggested that the hand and upper limb transplant policy and the protocol pathway also be included in the suite of papers for CPAG. This would give CPAG members a complete picture of the service.</i>

<b>Pharmaceutical considerations</b>
Not applicable

<b>Considerations from review by National Programme of Care</b>
<b>POC Board support:</b>
<b>1) The proposal received the full support of the Trauma Board on the 26 March 2019</b>
<b>Benefit of Service Specification:</b>
<b>This service specification has been written to ensure that it is in line with the published clinical commissioning policy and is reflective of current practice and that appropriate Quality Indicators are in place for the service.</b>
<b>Implementation timescale:</b>
<b>Select appropriate option:</b>
<b>1) Non material amendments and therefore suitable for immediate adoption</b>

