From: M.STURGES (NHS145) Delivered: Wed 14-Sep-88-17:09 BST Sys 10075 (7 Subject: nursesDDDDDDDNURSES CLINICAL GRADING REVIEW Mail Id: IPM-10075-880914-154391027

Please do not remove

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

--HANNTBAL HOUSE

ELEPHANT AND CASTLE

LONDON SE1-6TE

RCVD

M.R.H.A. - ADMIN.

RCVD\_1 5 SEP.1988

FOR ACTION BY FIG:

COPIES SENT TO:-

RNO AMCK

TELEPHONE: 01-703 6380

Regional General Managers
District General Managers
General Managers of the Special
Health Authorities for the London
Postgraduate Training Hospitals

14 September 1988

Dear General Manager

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NURSES' AND MIDWIVES' CLINICAL GRADING REVIEW

1. As you know, the Nurses and Midwives Negotiating Council continued discussions about the implementation of the clinical grading review at its meeting on 13 September. You may wish to have a brief

note of the outcome.

- 2. There remains a difference of view between the Management and Staff Sides on the interpretation of "continuing responsibility" in grading sisters at F and G. The Management Side gave an assurance that no sister would be graded lower than F, and that our expectation remained that about two-thirds of sisters would be graded G or above. The Management Side made it clear, however, that it remained their view that there could be only one post with continuing responsibility for a ward, and that our advice to NHS management on this issue stood.
- 3. The Staff Side proposed that, in the light of the Management Side views, a series of visits to hospitals should be arranged as soon as possible for a small group drawn from both sides, to enable Staff Side to demonstrate their concerns on this issue. The group would look at ward management arrangements at sister level, particularly where there was no one sister with clear overall responsibility, and have discussions with the individual members of staff concerned. The Management Side agreed to this proposal. Both sides agreed that this exercise should not be allowed to hold up progress on the implementation of the new grading structure, and the Staff Side accepted that this would need to proceed on the basis of existing management guidance.
- 4. We shall be arranging these visits, which we expect to number about six in total, in the near future. The details will be agreed between the two sides in consultation with the Authorities concerned. This will, of course, include getting in touch with individual RGMs and DGMs about our proposals. Both Sides agreed to avoid publicity for the visits so far as possible.
- 5. There were also discussions on a number of other detailed aspects of the new clinical grading structure. The outcome of these discussions will be reported fully to the meeting of regional core teams which is being arranged for Friday 16 September, starting at 10.30 in Room 60, Hannibal House, Elephant and Castle.
- 6. The next meeting of the Negotiating Council will be on 27 September.

Yours' sincerely

## N B J GURNEY

Expiry Date: This letter will be cancelled and deleted from the current communications index on 14 September 1989.

Programme Company

the second second second

To: NHS2740

1

L.MARTIN (NHS111) Delivered: Tue 13-Sep-88 9:38 BST Sys 10075 (0)

Subject: Acknowledgment of: INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES IN THE NHS

Original Mail Id: IPM-10075-880913-085490709 Received: Tue 13-Sep-88 9:38 (Explicit)

Mail Id: IPM-10075-880913-086730494

Action Required:

From:

To: D.NICHOL (NHS2740)

From: T.HUNT (NHS1720) Delivered: Tue 13-Sep-88 14:54 BST Sys 10075 (5)

Subject: Meeting

Mail Id: IPM-10075-880913-134230527

--More--

To:

Regional Personnel Directors

IN CONFIDENCE

Extension 2075

13 Septem

Dear Colleague,

A & C MANAGEMENT ADVISORY GROUP

At the last meeting of the above Group, I was asked to convene a small group to --More--n

Action Required:

From: M.STURGES (NHS145) Delivered: Wed 14-Sep-88 17:09 BST Sys 10075

Subject: nursesDDDDDDDNURSES CLINICAL GRADING REVIEW

Mail Id: IPM-10075-880914-154391027

--More--

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
HANNIBAL HOUSE
ELEPHANT AND CASTLE
LONDON SE1 6TE
TELEPHONE: 01-703 6380

Regional General Managers District General Managers General Managers of the Special EL(88)P162

10:06 BST Sys 10075

15-Sep-88 14:37 BST Sys 10075

11:29 BST Sys 10075

Dear General Manager

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NURSES' AND MIDWIVES' CLINICAL GRADING REVIEW

As you know, the Nurses and Midwives Negotiating Council continued discussions about the implementation of the clinical grading review at its meeting on 13 September. You may wish to have a brief

Action Required:

To:

From: L.MARTIN

NHS2740

Subject: Acknowledgment of:

Thu

D. HAGUE

D.KENNY

T.HUNT

A. POLLITT

H. TAYLOR

P.SAUL

J.SPARROW

D.NICHOL

B.EDWARDS

Subject: INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS

NHS2740

L.MARTIN

15-Sep-88 10:06

(NHS1000)

(NHS1570)

(NHS1890)

(NHS2390)

(NHS2051)

(NHS2740)

(NHS1720)

(NHS2190)

TPM-10075-880915-131650359

IPM-10075-880916-103370246

IPM-10075-880915-087751164

(NHS1350) Delivered:

(NHS111) Delivered:

16-Sep-88 11:29 (Explicit)

IPM-10075-880916-093180442

Subject: Acknowledgment of: INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES IN THE NHS

(NHS111) Delivered:

(Explicit)

Thu

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES IN THE NHS

Thu

Fri

16-Sep-88

15-Sep-88

TPM-10075-880915-090910756 Mail Id: Action Required:

Original Mail Id:

To:

To:

To:

To:

To:

To:

To:

To:

Action Required:

Original Mail Id:

Action Required:

To:

From:

Received:

Mail Id:

From:

Mail Id:

--More--n

Received:

--More--n

file /

>MAIL R U

From: M.STURGES (NHS145) Delivered: Wed 14-Sep-88 17:09 BST Sys 10075 (7

Subject: nursesDDDDDDDNURSES CLINICAL GRADING REVIEW

Mail Id: IPM-10075-880914-154391027

--More--{{{

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTZ
HANNIBAL HOUSE
ELEPHANT AND CASTLE
LONDON SE1 6TE
TELEPHONE: 01-703 6380

Regional General Managers
District General Managers
General Managers of the Special
Health Authorities for the London
PostgraduateOT'Zwing jk>X]x[

EL(88)P162

14 September 1988

Dear General Manager

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NURSES' AND MIDWIVES' CLINICAL GRADING REVIEW

1. As you know, the Nurses and Midwives Negotiating Council continued discussions about the implementation of the clinical grading review at its meeting K4o&hUWVO^I9Ku+hkay wish to have a brief--More--{

note of the outcome.

--More--

- 2. There remains a difference of diew between the Management and Staff Sides on the interpretation of "continuing responsibility" in grading sisters at F and G. The Management Side gave an assurance that no sister would be graded lower than F, and that our expectation remained that about two-thirds of sisters would be graded G or above. The Management Side made it clear, however, that it remained their view that there could be{ only one post with continuing responsibility for a ward, and that our advice to NHS management on this issue stood.
- 3. The Staff Side proposed that, in the light of the Management Side views, a series of visits to hospitals should be arranged as soon as possible for a small group drawn from both sides, to enable Staff Side to demonstrate their concerns on this issue< The group would look at ward management arrangements at sister level, particularly where there was no one sister with clear overall responsibility, and have discussions with the individual members of staff concerned. The Management Side agreed to this proposal. Both sides agreed that this exercise should not be allowed to hold up progress on the implementation of the new grading structure, and the Staff Side accepted that this would need to proceed on the basis of existing management guidance.