

Protecting and improving the nation's health

SARS-CoV-2 inactivation testing: interim report

| Report identifier | HCM/CoV2/014/v3 | |
|---|-----------------|--|
| Report date | 15 June 2020 | |
| Undertaken by High Containment Microbiology, NIS Laboratories, National Infection | | |
| Service, Public Health England | | |
| N.B. This is an interim report and may be updated as further results are obtained | | |

| Product/treatment details | |
|---|---|
| Product/treatment | GHCl 40% (w/v) Transport Medium |
| Manufacturer | Oxoid/Thermo Fisher Scientific |
| Product code | EB1351A |
| Composition of product, as supplied | 28.3% Guanidine hydrochloride 2.1% Triton X-100 0.5% Tris 0.7% EDTA |
| Manufacturer's recommended ratio of sample to product | Not known |

| Sample details | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Cample type tosted | Tissue culture fluid containing 5% (v/v) foetal calf | |
| Sample type tested | serum | |
| Virus strain tested | SARS-CoV-2 England 2 | |
| Ratio of spiked virus stock to | Not applied by tissue culture fluid used undiluted | |
| sample matrix | Not applicable; tissue culture fluid used undiluted | |

| Experimental conditions | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Ratio of sample to product tested | 1 volume sample to 10 volumes product | |
| Contact times | 30 minutes | |

| Temperature of incubation | Room temperature |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| | Test 1 and 2: Triplicate samples were treated with test buffer for indicated contact time/s or mock-treated in triplicate with an equivalent volume of PBS. All samples were then subjected to a purification step to remove cytotoxic buffer components. PBS-treated samples were subjected to the same purification procedure in parallel. |
| | Purified samples were immediately titrated on Vero E6 cells to establish virus titre (test 1). This test is quantitative and reports the titre of virus in each treatment condition in TCID50 per ml. Reduction in virus titre following treatment is given as the difference between the mean log ₁₀ TCID50/ml for treated conditions and the PBS control. |
| Brief description of tests performed | In parallel, purified samples were seeded onto Vero E6 monolayers to amplify any remaining virus over the course of up to four serial passages (test 2). Virus amplification over each passage was detected by visual (microscopic) examination of monolayers for cytopathic effect, and confirmed by SARS-CoV-2-specific real-time PCR. This test is qualitative and reports either the presence or absence of virus amplification. This test may detect levels of virus that are below the detection limit of the titration assay (test 1) due to a greater sample plating volume and the opportunity for any virus present to amplify over serial passages. |
| | Test 3: 100-fold dilutions of SARS-CoV-2 were spiked into test buffer aliquots and incubated at room temperature. After 0, 4 or 8 days, triplicate samples for each dilution were extracted and subjected to SARS-CoV2-specific real-time PCR. This test indicates stability of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the test buffer by comparing Ct values for each virus dilution over time. This test measures levels of viral RNA only and does not reflect virus infectivity. |

| Table of results (test 1 and test 2) | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| Maximum detectable virus reductio | 4.6* | | |
| | Test 1: Virus titration post-treatment Mean virus Titre reduction | | Test 2: Passage of |
| | | | samples in cell |
| | | | culture Virus detected/ |
| | titre (log ₁₀ | (log ₁₀ | Virus detected/ Virus not |
| | TCID50/ml) | TCID50/ml) | detected |
| PBS-treated | 6.3 | - | Virus detected (all replicates) |
| Test buffer-treated | ≤1.8* | ≥4.6* | Virus detected (all replicates) |

^{*}Virus titre in undiluted sample could not be determined due to residual buffer toxicity

| Table of results (Test 3) | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Mean Ct value of samples spiked with increasing dilutions of SARS-CoV-2 (standard deviation) | | | |
| | Undiluted | 1:10 ² | 1:104 | 1:10 ⁶ |
| 0 day treatment | 12.3 (0.7) | 20.0 (0.3) | 27.5 (0.1) | 37.4 (4.4) |
| 4 day treatment | 12.8 (0.7) | 20.9 (0.2) | 28.1 (0.5) | 33.8 (2.9) |
| 8 day treatment | 14.5 (0.2) | 21.5 (0.3) | 28.5 (0.2) | 35.0 (0.16) |

Interpretation

Test 1: Treatment with GHCl 40% (w/v) Transport Medium resulted in a ≥4.6 log10 reduction in infectious titre, the maximum detectable titre reduction in this test. Levels of infectious virus remaining in undiluted treated samples could not be evaluated due to residual buffer cytotoxicity remaining following sample purification.

Test 2: Infectious virus was recoverable from all treated sample replicates demonstrating incomplete inactivation.

Demonstrating complete inactivation is dependent on the starting titre of virus used for testing, and it is possible that complete inactivation could be achieved if samples contained lower levels of infectious virus than those tested here. Conversely, sample treatments that inactivate virus effectively in our testing may fail to inactivate samples containing higher levels of virus than those evaluated in this study.

These tests have been performed on tissue culture fluid containing 5% (v/v) foetal calf serum. The effectiveness of this treatment against SARS-CoV-2 may vary when used to inactivate clinical samples or other types of sample matrix. Any results of inactivation testing using other sample matrices will be released as they become available.

Test 3: We observed a small increase in Ct value (1-1.5 Ct) in SARS-CoV-2 specific real-time PCR following extended incubation times in this buffer, potentially indicating a small detrimental effect on RNA stability with increasing treatment times.

Inactivation reagents should not be assumed to be 100% effective against SARS-CoV-2.

Suitability of products and treatments for inactivation of other pathogens has not been evaluated in this study.

All COVID-19 laboratory testing workflows must be subjected to suitable and sufficient risk assessment, with consideration given to any inactivation step. Risk assessments should be reviewed regularly as new information on the inactivation of SARS-CoV-2 becomes available.

The impact of chosen inactivation method on the sensitivity of subsequent SARS-CoV-2 detection should also be assessed locally.

Disclaimer

PHE's evaluations of commercial products and treatments for inactivating SARS-CoV-2 have been carried out primarily for PHE's own internal use and the reports of such evaluations are shared solely for readers information; PHE does not in any way recommend any particular product for virus inactivation; and PHE shall not be responsible for the choice of product or treatment for virus inactivation, and it is the responsibility of the testing laboratory to ensure that any such product or treatment implemented has undergone the necessary verification and validation; and PHE shall not be liable, to the greatest extent possible under any applicable law, for any claim, loss or damage arising out of or connected with use of this and related reports and choice of virus inactivation products or treatments.

PHE is an Executive Agency of the Department of Health and Social Care. Unauthorised use of the PHE name and/or logo is prohibited.

Summary of revisions

Version 1: New document

Version 2: Addition of new data for Test 2 and Test 3

Version 3: Reformatted for publication

Queries regarding this report or HCM inactivation testing should be directed to <u>HCMgroup@phe.gov.uk</u>

PHE publications gateway number: GW-1373